

REMARKS

Further examination and reconsideration of the instant patent application in view of the above amendments is respectfully requested. Claims 1-27 remain pending. Claims 1-27 are rejected. Claim 10 is cancelled herein without prejudice. Claims 5, 6, 9, 14, 15, 19, 23 and 24 are amended herein. No new matter has been added.

35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 9-14 and 19-23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent 6,052,035 by Nolan et al., hereinafter referred to as the "Nolan" reference. Applicant has reviewed the cited reference and respectfully submits that the embodiments of the present invention as recited in Claims 9-14 and 19-23 are not anticipated by Nolan in view of the following rationale.

Applicant respectfully directs the Examiner to independent Claim 9 that recites that an embodiment of the present invention is directed to (emphasis added):

A microcontroller comprising:
a bus;
a processor coupled to said bus;
a memory unit coupled to said bus;
a plurality of input/output pins; and
a timer circuit coupled to said bus for performing a timing function,
said timer circuit comprising a relaxation oscillator circuit having a first
power mode and a second power mode, said first power mode and said
second power mode being switchable under a control, wherein said
relaxation oscillator circuit comprises:

a first current source coupled to said control for establishing a first reference voltage for use in causing said relaxation oscillator to operate in said first power mode to generate a clock of a first accuracy; and
a second current source coupled to said control for establishing a second reference voltage for use in causing said relaxation oscillator to operate in said second power mode to generate a clock of a second accuracy.

Independent Claim 19 recites similar limitations. Claims 10-14 that depend from independent Claim 9 and Claims 20-23 that depend from independent Claim 19 provide further recitations of the features of the present invention.

Nolan and the claimed invention are very different. Applicant understands Nolan to teach an oscillator with temperature compensation that includes two current generators. In particular, Nolan teaches that the two current generators work in combination to generate a summed current, regardless of the operating mode of the oscillator.

With reference to Figure 3 of Nolan, a precision relaxation oscillator 1 includes first current generator 200, which is a Complementary to Absolute Temperature (CTAT) current generator, and second current generator 300, which is a Proportional to Absolute Temperature (PTAT) current generator (col. 3, lines 13-19). The CTAT 200 and the PTAT 300 current generators compensate for the effects of temperature variation on internal components by providing offsetting currents (col. 3, lines 24-30). The offsetting currents, CTAT current 290 and PTAT current 390, are combined to form

the capacitor charging current (col. 3, lines 30-33). CTAT current 290 and PTAT current 390 are approximately linear and have opposite slopes with regard to temperature, resulting in a summation that is independent of temperature (col. 3, lines 36-39). In particular, Nolan teaches that the currents of both the CTAT 200 and PTAT 300 current generators are required to provide the capacitor charging current.

Furthermore, Applicant understands Nolan to teach that the precision relaxation oscillator provides three operating modes. However, both the CTAT and PTAT current generators are both used to generate the capacitor charging current, regardless of operating mode of the oscillator. In other words, the operating mode of the oscillator is not associated with a particular current generator.

In contrast, embodiments of the claimed invention are directed towards a microcontroller including a relaxation oscillator circuit, in which the relaxation oscillator circuit includes a first current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a first power mode to generate a clock of a first accuracy and a second current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a second power mode to generate a clock of a second accuracy. In particular, the claimed embodiments recite that a particular current source is associated with a particular power mode.

With reference to Figure 3 of the present application, dual mode relaxation oscillator circuit 300 is shown. Dual mode relaxation oscillator circuit 300 operates in

two different power modes: a low power mode utilizing current source 302 and a very low power mode utilizing current source 304. Control 346 is used to switch between current source 302 and current source 304, so as to switch power modes. As described in the current specification, in one embodiment current source 304 is continuously on. Switching activity on current source 302 switches dual mode relaxation oscillator circuit 300 from very low power mode to low power mode. In one embodiment, current source 304 generates substantially less current than current source 302, thus having minimal effect on the current generated at current source 302 (page 13, line 15 through page 14, line 5).

In particular, Applicant respectfully asserts that each current source is associated with a particular power mode, and that at least one current source is switched off for a very low power mode. Therefore, Applicant respectfully asserts that Nolan does not teach, describe or suggest a relaxation oscillator circuit including a first current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a first power mode and a second current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a second power mode, as claimed.

Moreover, with reference to Figure 4 of Nolan, Applicant understands Nolan to teach that the current sent to current mirror 250 may be adjusted using resistors 232, 233 and 234, which vary in impedance using resistor select 236. In particular, CTAT current generator 200 includes a single current source, and adjusts the current using resistors of different impedance.

In contrast, embodiments of the claimed invention as recited in Claims 14 and 23 include the limitation of digitally trimmable current sources. As shown in Figure 3 of the present application, trimmable components 318, 320, 322 and 324 are current sources for generating currents. Trimmable components 318, 320, 322 and 324 are controlled by digital control bits 370 and work in conjunction to generate a current used to generate a capacitor voltage (page 13, lines 6-9 and page 15, line 21 through page 16, line 4). As described above, Nolan teaches the use of a single current source and multiple resistors to vary a current. In particular, Applicant respectfully asserts that Nolan does not teach, describe or suggest the use of digitally trimmable current sources, as claimed.

Applicant respectfully asserts that nowhere does Nolan teach, disclose or suggest the claimed embodiments of the present invention as recited in independent Claims 9 and 19, and that these claims are thus in a condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully submits the Nolan also does not teach or suggest the additional claimed features of the present invention as recited in Claims 10-14 which depend from independent Claim 9 and Claims 20-23 which depend from independent Claim 19. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 10-14 and 20-23 overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), and are in a condition for allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 15-18 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolan. Claims 15-18 are dependent on independent Claim 9 and Claims 24-27 are dependent on independent Claim 19. Applicant has reviewed the cited reference and respectfully submit that the present invention as recited in Claims 15-18 and 24-27 is not rendered obvious by Nolan.

As described above, Applicant understands Nolan to teach an oscillator having two current generators that work in combination to generate a summed current, regardless of the operating mode of the oscillator. In particular, Nolan teaches that the currents of both the CTAT and PTAT current generators are required to provide the capacitor charging current. Moreover, Applicant understands Nolan to teach that the precision relaxation oscillator provides three operating modes, wherein the operating mode of the oscillator is not associated with a particular current generator.

In contrast, embodiments of the claimed invention are directed towards a microcontroller including a relaxation oscillator circuit, in which the relaxation oscillator circuit includes a first current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a first power mode to generate a clock of a first accuracy and a second current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a second power mode to generate a clock of a second accuracy. In particular, the claimed embodiments recite that a particular current source is associated with a particular power mode.

In particular, Applicant respectfully asserts that the claimed embodiments recite that each current source is associated with a particular power mode. Therefore, Applicant respectfully asserts that Nolan does not teach, describe or suggest a relaxation oscillator circuit including a first current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a first power mode and a second current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a second power mode, as claimed. Moreover, with regard to Claims 15 and 24, Applicant respectfully asserts that Nolan does not teach the limitation of digitally trimmable current sources, as claimed.

Applicant respectfully asserts that nowhere does Nolan teach, disclose or suggest the claimed embodiments of the present invention as recited in independent Claims 9 and 19, and that these claims are thus in a condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits the Nolan also does not teach or suggest the additional claimed features of the present invention as recited in Claims 15-18 which depend from independent Claim 9 and Claims 24-27 which depend from independent Claim 19. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 15-18 and 24-27 overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), and are in a condition for allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolan in view of United States Patent 5,235,617 by Mallard, Jr., hereinafter referred to

as the "Mallard" reference. Applicant has reviewed the cited references and respectfully submits that the present invention as recited in Claims 1-8 is not rendered obvious by Nolan in view of Mallard.

Applicant respectfully directs the Examiner to independent Claim 1 that recites that an embodiment of the present invention is directed to (emphasis added):

An oscillator circuit comprising:
a relaxation oscillator circuit;
a first current source for establishing a first reference voltage for use in causing said relaxation oscillator circuit to operate in a first power mode to generate a clock of a first accuracy;
a second current source for establishing a second reference voltage for use in causing said relaxation oscillator circuit to operate in a second power mode to generate a clock of a second accuracy; and
a control coupled to said first current source and said second current source for switching between said first power mode and said second power mode.

Claims 2-8 that depend from independent Claim 1 provide further recitations of the features of the present invention.

As described above, Applicant understands Nolan to teach an oscillator having two current generators that work in combination to generate a summed current, regardless of the operating mode of the oscillator. In particular, Nolan teaches that the currents of both the CTAT and PTAT current generators are required to provide the capacitor charging current. Moreover, Applicant understand Nolan to teach that the

precision relaxation oscillator provides three operating modes, wherein the operating mode of the oscillator is independent of the current generators.

In contrast, embodiments of the claimed invention are directed towards an oscillator circuit including a relaxation oscillator circuit, in which the relaxation oscillator circuit includes a first current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a first power mode to generate a clock of a first accuracy and a second current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a second power mode to generate a clock of a second accuracy. In particular, the claimed embodiments recite that a particular current source is associated with a particular power mode.

In particular, Applicant respectfully asserts that the claimed embodiment recite that each current source is associated with a particular power mode. Therefore, Applicant respectfully asserts that Nolan does not teach, describe or suggest a relaxation oscillator circuit including a first current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a first power mode and a second current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a second power mode, as claimed. Moreover, with regard to Claim 6, Applicant respectfully asserts that Nolan does not teach the limitation of digitally trimmable current sources, as claimed.

Moreover, the combination of Nolan and Mallard fails to teach or suggest this claim limitation because Mallard does not overcome the shortcomings of Nolan.

Applicant understands Mallard to teach a transmission media driving system. In particular, Mallard does not teach, describe, or suggest a relaxation oscillator circuit including a first current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a first power mode and a second current source for use in causing the relaxation oscillator to operate in a second power mode, as claimed. Moreover, with regard to Claim 6, Applicant respectfully asserts that Mallard does not teach the limitation of digitally trimmable current sources, as claimed.

Applicant respectfully asserts that nowhere does the combination of Nolan and Mallard teach, disclose or suggest the claimed embodiments of the present invention as recited in independent Claim 1, and that this claim is thus in a condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits the combination of Nolan and Mallard also does not teach or suggest the additional claimed features of the present invention as recited in Claims 2-8 which depend from independent Claim 1. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 2-8 overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), and are in a condition for allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

CONCLUSION

Based on the arguments presented above, Applicant respectfully asserts that Claims 1-9 and 11-27 overcome the rejections of record and, therefore, Applicant respectfully solicits allowance of these Claims.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application. Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account number: 23-0085.

Respectfully submitted,
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP

Date: 14 Sept., 2004



Matthew J. Blecher
Registration No. 46,558

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP
Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
(408) 938-9060